arXiv:math-ph/0612026v1 7 Dec 2006

Symplectic algorithm for systems with second-class constraints

Özlem Defterli[1]
Department of Mathematics and Computer Sciences, Faculty of Arts and Science, Çankaya University, Balgat 06530, Ankara, Turkey
Dumitru Baleanu[2]
Department of Mathematics and Computer Sciences, Faculty of Arts and Science, Çankaya University, Balgat 06530, Ankara, Turkey
and
Institute of Space Sciences, P.O.BOX, MG-23, R 76900,
Magurele-Bucharest, Romania

Abstract

The recently modified Faddeev-Jackiw formalism for systems having one chain of four levels of only second-class constraints is applied to the non-trivial a=1 bosonized chiral Schwinger model in (1+1) dimensions as well as to one mechanical system. The sets of obtained constraints are in agreement with Dirac's canonical formulation.

1 Introduction

The first order Lagrangian [1] to start with is given by

$$L = c_\alpha(\zeta)\dot{\zeta}^\alpha - H(\zeta), \tag{1}$$

where ζ^α, $\alpha = 1, \ldots, 2N$ are the coordinates and $H(\zeta)$ is the corresponding canonical Hamiltonian. The equations of motion can be written as $f_{\alpha\beta}\dot{\zeta}^\beta = \partial_\alpha H$, where $\partial_\alpha \equiv \partial/\partial\zeta^\alpha$ and

$$f_{\alpha\beta} \equiv \partial_\alpha c_\beta(\zeta) - \partial_\beta c_\alpha(\zeta). \tag{2}$$

The system corresponding to the Lagrangian (1) together with the primary constraints $\phi_\mu^{(1)}$, $(\mu = 1, \ldots, M)$, is described by the Lagrangian $L' = c_\alpha\dot{\zeta}^\alpha - \lambda^\mu\phi_\mu^{(1)} - H(\zeta)$ where λ^μ are Lagrange multipliers. The consistency conditions of these primary constraints bring new constraints to the system. So, the Lagrangian (1) is extended by the term $\xi^\mu\dot{\phi}_\mu^{(1)}$ as $L^{(1)} = c_\alpha(\zeta)\dot{\zeta}^\alpha - \dot{\xi}^\mu\phi_\mu^{(1)} -$

---

[1] E-mail: defterli@cankaya.edu.tr

[2] E-mails: dumitru@cankaya.edu.tr, baleanu@venus.nipne.ro

$H(\zeta)$ [2]. Then, multiplying both sides of the associated equations of motion by the left null-eigenvectors of the symplectic tensor gives the next second-class constraint and so on. This procedure leads us to a chain structure [3] of a second-class constraint set $\phi^{(1)}, \phi^{(2)}, \ldots, \phi^{(N)}$. Assume that the above method continues until the step $(N/2)+1$ because of the singularity of the symplectic two-form $F^{((N/2)+1)}$ given in the right hand side of the matrix form of the following equations of motion [1]

$$\left(\begin{array}{cccc} f & A^{(1)} & \ldots & A^{[(N/2)+1]} \\ -A^{(1)T} & 0 & \ldots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ -A^{[(N/2)+1]T} & 0 & \ldots & 0 \end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{c} \dot{\zeta} \\ \dot{\xi}_1 \\ \vdots \\ \dot{\xi}_{(N/2)+1} \end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{c} \partial H \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{array}\right), \tag{3}$$

where the elements of the matrix $A^{(\gamma)}$ are $A_{\mu\alpha}^{(\gamma)} \equiv \partial_\alpha \phi_\mu^{(\gamma)}$, $\gamma = 1, \ldots, N$. So, $F^{((N/2)+1)}$ does not admit a new left null-eigenvector. In order to obtain the next level constraint $\phi^{[(N/2)+2]} \approx \{\phi^{[(N/2)+1]}, H\}$ and the remaining constraints of the chain, a modification in the symplectic analysis [4, 5] given above is done [1] by truncating the matrix form of the symplectic tensor $F^{((N/2)+1)}$ in (3) as

$$\tilde{F}^{((N/2)+1)}=\left(\begin{array}{cc} f & A^{(1)} \\ -A^{(1)T} & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ -A^{[(N/2)+1]T} & 0 \end{array}\right) \tag{4}$$

so that $\tilde{F}^{((N/2)+1)}$ possesses a new left null-eigenvector $v^{[(N/2)+1]}$. Here, $\tilde{F}^{((N/2)+1)}$ denotes the truncated matrix $F^{((N/2)+1)}$ [1].

The aim of this manuscript is to apply recently modified Faddeev-Jackiw[6] formalism on two examples of physical interest. The first example is the Schwinger model in (1+1) dimensions which, despite the gauge anomaly, is unitary and it was consistently quantized [8]. The second example is a simpler mechanical system possessing one chain of a four level second-class constraints.

2 Examples

2.1 Schwinger model in (1+1) dimensions

The bosonized chiral Schwinger model in (1+1) dimensions is described by the Lagrangian density

$$L = \frac{1}{2}\partial_\mu\varphi\partial^\mu\varphi + (g^{\mu\nu} - \varepsilon^{\mu\nu})\partial_\mu\varphi A_\nu - \frac{1}{4}F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{2}A_\mu A^\mu, \tag{5}$$

where $g^{\mu\nu}$=diag(1, -1), ε^{01}=1 and $\partial_0 \equiv \partial/\partial t$, $\partial_1 \equiv \partial/\partial x$. The canonical momenta are $\pi^0 = 0$, $\pi^1 = \dot{A}_1 - \partial_1 A_0 \equiv E$ and $\pi_\varphi = \dot{\varphi} + A_0 - A_1 \equiv \pi$. The canonical density and the total density Hamiltonians are given [8] by $H_C = \frac{1}{2}(E^2 + \pi^2 + (\partial_1\varphi)^2) + E\partial_1 A_0 + (\pi + A_1 + \partial_1\varphi)(A_1 - A_0)$ and $H_T = H_C + \lambda\pi^0$, respectively, where the overdot denotes the time derivative. The usual Dirac approach [7] gives the following set of constraints [8] $\phi^{(1)} \equiv \pi^0 \approx 0$, $\phi^{(2)} \equiv \partial_1 E + \pi + \partial_1\varphi + A_1 \approx 0$, $\phi^{(3)} \equiv E \approx 0$, and $\phi^{(4)} \equiv -\pi - \partial_1\varphi - 2A_1 + A_0 \approx 0$ where $\phi^{(1)} \equiv \pi^0$ is the only primary constraint and all constraints are second-class. The first order Lagrangian density associated to (5) is written as

$$L = \pi^0\dot{A}_0 + \pi^1\dot{A}_1 + \pi_\varphi\dot{\varphi} - H_C. \tag{6}$$

which gives the symplectic tensor f as follows

$$f = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \delta(x-y). \tag{7}$$

Considering the consistency condition of the primary constraint $\phi^{(1)} \equiv \pi^0$ forms the first order Lagrangian density (6) as

$$L^{(1)} = \pi^0\dot{A}_0 + \pi^1\dot{A}_1 + \pi_\varphi\dot{\varphi} - \dot{\xi}_1\pi^0 - H_C \tag{8}$$

and so by eqn.(2) and (3) the equations of motion $F^{(1)}_{\alpha\beta}\dot{\zeta}^{\beta} = \frac{\delta H_T}{\delta \zeta^{\alpha}}$ in the extended space $\zeta = (A_0, A_1, \varphi, \pi^0, \pi^1, \pi_{\varphi}, \xi_1)$ are written as in the following

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \dot{A}_0 \\ \dot{A}_1 \\ \dot{\varphi} \\ \dot{\pi}^0 \\ \dot{\pi}^1 \\ \dot{\pi}_{\varphi} \\ \dot{\xi}_1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -(\pi + A_1 + \partial_1\varphi + \partial_1\pi^1) \\ \pi + A_1 + \partial_1\varphi + A_1 - A_0 \\ -\partial_1 A_1 + \partial_1 A_0 \\ \lambda \\ \pi^1 + \partial_1 A_0 \\ \pi_{\varphi} + A_1 - A_0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{9}$$

where the left null-eigenvector of $F^{(1)}$ is calculated as $v^{(1)} = (-1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1)$. By applying the procedure with $v^{(1)}$, the second constraint is found to be $\phi^{(2)} = \pi + A_1 + \partial_1\varphi + \partial_1\pi^1$ that is second-class. Then the consistency of $\phi^{(2)}$ adds the term $-\dot{\xi}_2(\pi + A_1 + \partial_1\varphi + \partial_1\pi^1)$ to the Lagrangian in (8) and from (3) the matrix form of the equations of motion $F^{(2)}_{\alpha\beta}\dot{\zeta}^{\beta} = \frac{\delta H_T}{\delta \zeta^{\alpha}}$ is found as follows

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \dot{A}_0 \\ \dot{A}_1 \\ \dot{\varphi} \\ \dot{\pi}^0 \\ \dot{\pi}^1 \\ \dot{\pi}_{\varphi} \\ \dot{\xi}_1 \\ \dot{\xi}_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -(\pi + A_1 + \partial_1\varphi + \partial_1\pi^1) \\ \pi + A_1 + \partial_1\varphi + A_1 - A_0 \\ -\partial_1 A_1 + \partial_1 A_0 \\ \lambda \\ \pi^1 + \partial_1 A_0 \\ \pi_{\varphi} + A_1 - A_0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{10}$$

where $F^{(2)}$ admits a new left null-eigenvector $v^{(2)} = (0, 0, -1, 0, 1, 0, 0, 1)$. Repeating the procedure for $v^{(2)}$ gives the next second-class constraint $\phi^{(3)} = \pi^1$. For the following step we obtain

$$L^{(3)} = \pi^0\dot{A}_0 + \pi^1\dot{A}_1 + \pi_{\varphi}\dot{\varphi} - \dot{\xi}_1\pi^0 - \dot{\xi}_2(\pi + A_1 + \partial_1\varphi + \partial_1\pi^1) - \dot{\xi}_3\pi^1 - H_C. \tag{11}$$

The form of the eqn.(3) for this case becomes

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \dot{A}_0 \\ \dot{A}_1 \\ \dot{\varphi} \\ \dot{\pi}^0 \\ \dot{\pi}^1 \\ \dot{\pi}_\varphi \\ \dot{\xi}_1 \\ \dot{\xi}_2 \\ \dot{\xi}_3 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -(\pi + A_1 + \partial_1\varphi + \partial_1\pi^1) \\ \pi + A_1 + \partial_1\varphi + A_1 - A_0 \\ -\partial_1 A_1 + \partial_1 A_0 \\ \lambda \\ \pi^1 + \partial_1 A_0 \\ \pi_\varphi + A_1 - A_0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \tag{12}$$

but $F^{(3)}$ does not have a new left null-eigenvector. Finally, by truncating the above mentioned symplectic two-form as shown in (4), we obtain

$$\tilde{F}^{(3)} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \delta(x-y) \tag{13}$$

which admits a new left null-eigenvector $v^{(3)} = (0, -1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1)$. Therefore, the last step of the algorithm produces the last constraint $\phi^{(4)} = -\pi - \partial_1\varphi - 2A_1 + A_0$. By direct calculations we see that the next step of the algorithm will not produce any new constraint because the corresponding symplectic two-form has a non-singular matrix with determinant 1.

2.2 Example 2

Let us consider the following Lagrangian

$$L = \dot{x}\dot{y} - z(x+y), \tag{14}$$

where $\zeta^M = (x, y, z, p_x, p_y, p_z), M = 1, 2, 3$. The primary constraint is $\phi^{(1)} = p_z$. The canonical and total Hamiltonians are given by $H_C = p_x p_y + z(x +$

$y), H_T = H_C + \lambda p_z$. By the usual Dirac approach[7], we obtain the following second-class constraints $\phi^{(2)} = -x - y$, $\phi^{(3)} = p_x + p_y$ and $\phi^{(4)} = -2z$. The first order Lagrangian of the form (1) is

$$L = \dot{x} p_x + \dot{y} p_y + \dot{z} p_z - H_C. \tag{15}$$

After imposing the consistency condition of $\phi^{(1)}$, the first order Lagrangian in (15) becomes

$$L^{(1)} = \dot{x} p_x + \dot{y} p_y + \dot{z} p_z - \dot{\xi}_1 p_z - H_C. \tag{16}$$

From (2) and (3), the matrix form of the equations of motion is found as

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \dot{x} \\ \dot{y} \\ \dot{z} \\ \dot{p}_x \\ \dot{p}_y \\ \dot{p}_z \\ \dot{\xi}_1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} z \\ z \\ x+y \\ p_y \\ p_x \\ \lambda \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{17}$$

The matrix $F^{(1)}$ in (17) admits the left null-eigenvector $v^{(1)} = (0, 0, -1, 0, 0, 0, 1)$. Applying the method described in Section 1, the second-class constraint $\phi^{(2)} = -x - y$ appears. Imposing the consistency condition of $\phi^{(2)}$ we obtain

$$L^{(2)} = \dot{x} p_x + \dot{y} p_y + \dot{z} p_z - \dot{\xi}_1 p_z - \dot{\xi}_2(-x - y) - H_C \tag{18}$$

so that by using (3) the corresponding equations of motion are obtained in the following matrix form

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \dot{x} \\ \dot{y} \\ \dot{z} \\ \dot{p}_x \\ \dot{p}_y \\ \dot{p}_z \\ \dot{\xi}_1 \\ \dot{\xi}_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} z \\ z \\ x+y \\ p_y \\ p_x \\ \lambda \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{19}$$

In this case $F^{(2)}$ admits a new left null-eigenvector $v^{(2)} = (0, 0, 0, -1, -1, 0, 0, 1)$. The same procedure with $v^{(2)}$ gives the second-class constraint $\phi^{(3)} = p_y + p_x$.

In order to obtain the next constraint $\phi^{(4)}$, the consistency condition of the constraint $\phi^{(3)}$ is considered, so the Lagrangian (18) takes the form

$$L^{(3)} = \dot{x}p_x + \dot{y}p_y + \dot{z}p_z - \dot{\xi}_1 p_z - \dot{\xi}_2(-x-y) - \dot{\xi}_3(p_y + p_x) - H_C \tag{20}$$

which gives

$$F^{(3)} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{21}$$

$F^{(3)}$ does not admit a new left null-eigenvector, therefore a problem appears to reach the last constraint $\phi^{(4)}$ within this procedure. Therefore, using (4) we truncate the matrix $F^{(3)}$ as

$$\tilde{F}^{(3)} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & -1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{22}$$

$\tilde{F}^{(3)}$ admits a new left null-eigenvector denoted by $v^{(3)} = (-1, -1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1)$. Hence, we found the last constraint $\phi^{(4)} = -2z$ by continuing the procedure with the null-eigenvector $v^{(3)}$. The constraint $\phi^{(4)}$ is the last constraint and the procedure is finished because for the next level, the symplectic tensor $F^{(4)}$ has a non-singular matrix with $\det(F^{(4)})$=16.

The authors would like to thank the organizers of this colloquium for giving them the opportunity to attend this meeting. This paper is partially supported by the Scientific and Technical Research Council of Turkey.

References

[1] A. Shirzad, M. Mojiri: J. Math. Phys. **46** (2005) 012702.

[2] H. J. Rothe, K. D. Rothe: J. Phys. A **36** (2003) 1671.

[3] F. Loran, A. Shirzad: Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **17** (2002) 625.

[4] J. Barcelos-Neto, C. Wotzasek: Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **7** (1992) 4981.

[5] J. Barcelos-Neto, N. R. F. Beraga: J. Math. Phys. **35** (1994) 3497.

[6] L. D. Faddeev, R. Jackiw: Phys. Rev. Lett. **60**(1988) 1692.

[7] P. A. M. Dirac: *Lectures on Quantum Mechanics*. Yeshiva University, New York, 1964.

[8] Y.-W. Kim, Ee C.-Y., S.-K. Kim, Y.-J. Park: Phys. Lett. B **632**(2006) 427.